

From: tsantosh@meity.gov.in [mailto:tsantosh@meity.gov.in]
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 6:36 AM
To: Manal Ismail
Cc: gac@icann.org; JS DeitY Rajiv Bansal; Pradeep Kumar Verma
Subject: Re: [GAC] Feedback on BGRI-WG work ..

Dear Ms. Manal,

The comments from India are as below:

- i. Regarding slide 3, it should be clearly stated that the current definition of GAC Advice covers only GAC advice to the ICANN Board and does not include the communications it makes to the various ICANN supporting organizations and other advisory committees.
- ii. Again regarding slide 3, it should be made clear that if the Board invites the GAC to issue any comments as required by procedure (e.g. for a GNSO PDP wherein prior to the Board's consideration of GNSO PDP recommendations, the Board is to notify the GAC and request its input on whether there are any public policy recommendations associated with the PDP recommendations), such invitations for inputs do not fall within the scope of the a consultation process of the Board requesting the GAC for advice.

Warm Regards

T. Santhosh
Scientist 'E' / Additional Director
Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology
Government of India
Electronics Niketan, 6 CGO Complex,
New Delhi - 110003 (**India**)
Tel: +91-11-24364741, 24301831