On Tue, May 9, 2017 at 2:20 PM, T Santhosh <tsantosh@meity.gov.in> wrote:

Dear Fabien

The comments from India are as below:

- a. Section 1.2: "Provider" definition should state "The entity who provides the Privacy and/or Proxy Service"
- b. Section 1.3: "Customer" definition should state "The subscriber of Privacy or Proxy Service"
- c. Section 1.5: "Priority Level": A list of priority levels should be defined such as [High, Medium, Low] OR [Trivial, Important, Urgent, Critical] etc.
- d. Section 2.1.3 should state "Details of "Requested Information" as per definition specified in section 1.4" $\,$
- e. Section 2.1.4 should state "Priority Level" as per definition specified in section 1.5"
- f. Section 4.2.1 should mention more about the "Secure mechanism" like Encrypted Web Form etc.

Warm Regards

T.Santhosh
Scientist 'E' / Additional Director
Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology
Government of India
Electronics Niketan, 6 CGO Complex,
New Delhi - 110003 (India)
Tel: +91-11-24364741, 24301831

On 05/05/17 02:30 PM, **Fabien Betremieux** <fabien.betremieux@icann.org> wrote: Dear PSWG Members,

This is a reminder of this call for review by Monday 8 May COB.

Thank you for your attention

Best Regards

Fabilen

From: Fabien Betremieux < fabien.betremieux@icann.org >

Date: Monday, 1 May 2017 at 17:27

To: "gac-pswg@icann.org" <gac-pswg@icann.org>

Cc: Nick Shorey < lists@nickshorey.com>, Cathrin Bauer-Bulst < Cathrin.BAUER-BULST@ec.europa.eu>

Subject: Due Mon. 8 May - Revised Privacy/Proxy LEA Disdosure Framework

Dear PSWG Members,

Following the call held earlier today (recording accessible

here: https://participate.icann.org/p1k08huef9r/), two revisions were made to the Draft Privacy/Proxy LEA Disclosure Framework (see attached clean and revised versions):

- **Section 4.2.3** now includes a requirement for PP providers, when refusing disclosure, to complete notification of requestor LEA prior to any customer notification (if applicable under applicable laws) so that LEA are made aware.
- **Section 6.1** now clarifies that procedures defined in the disclosure framework may also apply in cases where PP providers chooses to cooperate voluntarily with requestor in cross-jurisdiction inquiries.

Please review and comment as soon as possible, **at the latest by next Monday 8 May COB**. In the absence of objection by this date, this revised draft will be submitted to the GAC for endorsement, for subsequent discussion with the PPSALIRT.

Thank you for attention.

Best Regards

Fabien

From: Fabien Betremieux < fabien.betremieux@icann.org >

Date: Thurs day, 27 April 2017 at 22:03

Subject: Is sue Paper - Discussion on Privacy/Proxy LEA Disclosure Framework

Dear PSWG Members,

Please find attached the issue paper for your consideration ahead of the discussion planned next Monday.

You may also access the paper

online: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1FTmUMcHbdj_HmnPWsxzaOVQw4QnsQxNLI9qQFH_Hy AE/edit#

Please feel free to share any comments ahead of the meeting either in response to this email or directly as comments online.

We would like to remind everyone that the call on Monday is open to all interested PSWG Members.

Best Regards

From: Fabien Betremieux < fabien.betremieux@icann.org >

Date: Thursday, 27 April 2017 at 16:11

Subject: Save the Date: 1 May 1400 UTC - Discussion on Privacy/Proxy LEA Disclosure Framework

Dear All,

According to doodle poll, we will hold our call on **Monday 1 May 2017 at 14:00 UTC for 60 minutes** to discuss the issue of cross-jurisdiction requests in the current Draft Privacy/Proxy LEA Disclosure Framework.

We apologize to those who may not be able to join at this time. We will circulate notes and recording after the call.

We will shortly send a calendar invite with remote participation information. We are also planning to share an issue paper later today.

Please stay tuned.

Best Regards

Fabien

From: Fabien Betremieux < fabien.betremieux@icann.org >

Date: Wednesday, 26 April 2017 at 16:24

Subject: Discussion on Privacy/Proxy LEA Disclosure Framework - Poll Due Thu. 27 April 1400 UTC

Dear PSWG Members,

Following your review of the proposed Draft Privacy/Proxy LEA Disclosure Framework (attached), Cathrin Bauer-Bulst (PSWG co-chair) and Nick Shorey (PPSAI Topic Lead) would like to invite contributors and interested PSWG members for a follow-up discussion, in fairly short order.

If you are interested, **please let us know your availability** in this doodle poll, ideally **before tomorrow Thursday 27 April 14:00 UTC**: http://doodle.com/poll/dun33e2xi6pxpb2g

The discussion would aim to exchange views and seek to determine whether the current proposal:

- provides benefits beyond what your respective national legal frameworks require currently in the area of disclosure from PP providers

- is consistent with relevant GAC Advice in the area of cross-jurisdiction requests (see Marrakech and Helsinki Advice)
- is ready for engagement with the PP Implementation Review Team from both a strategic and tactical perspective

A short issue paper will be circulated ahead of this discussion to assist in members' preparation.
Thank you for your attention
Fabien Betremieux
GAC Relations Advisor
Policy Development Support ICANN
FR: +33 7 60 09 09 40 US: +1 310 463 5334 Skype: fabien.betremieux
From: Nick Shorey < lists@nickshorey.com> Date: Monday, 17 April 2017 at 19:20 To: "gac-pswg@icann.org" < gac-pswg@icann.org> Cc: Fabien Betremieux < fabien.betremieux@icann.org>, "mark.carvell@culture.gov.uk" < mark.carvell@culture.gov.uk> Subject: [Ext] FOR COMMENT: Privacy Proxy Disclosure Framework - deadline 21st April 2017
Hi everyone,
Hope all is well. Please see below.
ISSUE:
Please find attached the draft disclosure framework for privacy and proxy services.

We're working to quite a tight deadline to keep track with the progress of the Implementation review team (IRT), so I welcome your timely response. Following your feedback, I will review and submit to the GAC for endorsement, before transmission to the IRT.

With big thanks to Ashley, Chris, Fabien, Gilad, Gregory, Kerry-Ann, Lili & Roeland for their tremendous help in developing it through to this point.
Please note: This is not final policy, but will be used to guide the work of the IRT.
ACTION:
Please review and provide comments to Nick and Fabien by close on 21st April 2017.
I look forward to receiving your comments. In particular, I would welcome feedback on:
- Whether we should include a rationale against the guidance recommendations?
- Must a request reference applicable law as a minimum standard for acceptance?
- Can we have trusted requestors? What streamlined process are we seeking, and what does a streamlined process mean in practice?
- Should action of 'High Priority' requests be within 24hrs of the request being 'submitted' or 'received'?
BACKGROUND:
GNSO Final Recommendations: https://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/raa/ppsai-final-07dec15-en.pdf[gnso.icann.org]

GAC Helsinki

Advice: https://doi.org/10.1003/	s://gacweb.id	cann.org/display	/gacweb/Gover	nmental+Advis	ory+Committee'	?preview=/271320	037/4371281
1/20160630	GAC%2010	CANN%2056%2	Communique.	FINAL %20%5	B1%5D.pdf[gac)	web.icann.orgl	

1/20160630_GAC%20ICANN%2056%20Communique_FINAL%20%5B1%5D.pdf[gacweb.icann.org]
In the meantime, don't hesitate to get in touch with any questions you may have!
Kind regards,
Nick
Nick Shorey
National Crime Agency HM Government
Phone: +44 (0) 7552 455 988
Email: lists @nickshorey.com');" > lists @nickshorey.com
Skype: nick.shorey
Twitter: @nickshorey
Linkedin: www.linkedin.com/in/nicklinkedin[linkedin.com]
Web: www.nickshorey.com[nickshorey.com]

GAC-pswg mailing list GAC-pswg@icann.org https://mm.icann.org/mailman/listinfo/gac-pswg

Warm Regards

T.Santhosh Scientist'E' / Additional Director Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology Government of India Electronics Niketan, 6 CGO Complex, New Delhi - 110003 (India) Tel: +91-11-24364741, 24301831